

## Recitation 4: Dataflow Analysis Complexity and Correctness

The termination of the worklist algorithm for dataflow analysis relies on two conditions: the dataflow lattice having finite height and the flow functions being monotonic. A flow function  $f$  is monotonic iff  $\sigma_1 \sqsubseteq \sigma_2$  implies  $f(\sigma_1) \sqsubseteq f(\sigma_2)$  for all  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ .

The correctness of a dataflow analysis depends on the local soundness of its flow functions. For every program configuration  $c_i$  in the trace of a program  $P$ , a flow function  $f$  is locally sound iff  $(P \vdash c_i \leadsto c_{i+1})$  and  $\alpha(c_i) \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_i}$  and  $f[P[n_i]](\sigma_{n_i}) = \sigma_{n_{i+1}} \Rightarrow \alpha(c_{i+1}) \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_{i+1}}$ .

## Exercises

These exercises prove properties of parity analysis. Assume the following:

- A lattice  $(L, \sqsubseteq)$  where  $L = \{\top, O, V, \perp\}$  and  $\perp \sqsubseteq \{O, V\} \sqsubseteq \top, O \sqcup V = \top$
- An abstraction function  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \mapsto L$ , defined as follows:

$$\alpha(n) = \begin{cases} V & \text{when } n \text{ is an even integer } (n \in \{2k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}) \\ O & \text{when } n \text{ is an odd integer } (n \in \{2k + 1 : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}) \end{cases}$$

- a flow function  $f_P$
- initial dataflow analysis assumptions  $\sigma_0$ , in this case  $\sigma_0$  maps all variables' initial states to  $\top$ .

1. Disprove the local soundness of the incorrect flow function  $f_P[a := b](\sigma) = \sigma[a \mapsto O]$

Proof: Case  $f_P[a := 2](\sigma_{n_i})$ .

Assume  $c_i = E_i, n_i$  and  $\alpha(E_i) \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_i}$

$\sigma_{n_{i+1}} = f_P[a := 2](\sigma_{n_i}) = \sigma_{n_i}[a \mapsto O]$

(by definition)

$c_{i+1} = E_i[a \mapsto 2], n_i + 1$

( $n_{i+1} = n_i + 1$  by rule *step-assign*)

$\alpha(c_{i+1}) = \alpha(E_i[a \mapsto 2]) = \alpha(E_i)[a \mapsto \alpha_s(2)] = \alpha(E_i)[a \mapsto V]$

(by definition of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_s$ .)

Notice that  $\alpha(E_i) \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_i}$  by assumption, but  $\alpha(c_{i+1}) = \alpha(E_i)[a \mapsto V] \not\sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_i}[a \mapsto O]$  because  $\sqsubseteq$  is defined piecewise and  $V \not\sqsubseteq O$ . Therefore  $\alpha(c_i) \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_i} \wedge f_P[P[n_i]](\sigma_{n_i}) = \sigma_{n_{i+1}} \not\Rightarrow \alpha(c_{i+1}) \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_{i+1}}$   $\square$

For the next questions, use the following (correct) flow function for parity analysis:

$$f_P[a := b * c](\sigma) = \begin{cases} \sigma[a \mapsto \perp] & \text{if } \sigma(b) = \perp \vee \sigma(c) = \perp \\ \sigma[a \mapsto O] & \text{if } \sigma(b) = O \wedge \sigma(c) = O \\ \sigma[a \mapsto V] & \text{if } (\sigma(b) = V \wedge \sigma(c) \neq \perp) \vee (\sigma(b) \neq \perp \wedge \sigma(c) = V) \\ \sigma[a \mapsto \top] & \text{if } (\sigma(b) = \top \wedge \sigma(c) \notin \{V, \perp\}) \vee (\sigma(b) \notin \{V, \perp\} \wedge \sigma(c) = \top) \end{cases}$$

2. Prove the monotonicity of  $f_P[a := b * c](\sigma)$  for the case  $(\sigma(b) = V \wedge \sigma(c) \neq \perp) \vee (\sigma(b) \neq \perp \wedge \sigma(c) = V)$

Proof of monotonicity of the above flow function

Assume  $\sigma_1 \sqsubseteq \sigma_2$

$\sigma_1(b) \sqsubseteq_s \sigma_2(b)$  and  $\sigma_1(c) \sqsubseteq_s \sigma_2(c)$

(Since  $\sqsubseteq$  is defined point-wise)

Case  $(\sigma_1(b) = V \wedge \sigma_1(c) \neq \perp) \vee (\sigma_1(b) \neq \perp \wedge \sigma_1(c) = V)$

Since  $\sigma_1(b) \sqsubseteq_s \sigma_2(b)$  and  $\sigma_1(c) \sqsubseteq_s \sigma_2(c)$ :

$(\sigma_2(b) \in \{V, \top\} \wedge \sigma_2(c) \neq \perp) \vee (\sigma_2(c) \in \{V, \top\} \wedge \sigma_2(b) \neq \perp)$

$$\therefore f_P[a := b * c](\sigma_2) = \begin{cases} \sigma_2[a \mapsto V] & \text{if } (\sigma_2(b) = V \wedge \sigma_2(c) \neq \perp) \vee \\ & (\sigma_2(c) = V \wedge \sigma_2(b) \neq \perp) \\ \sigma_2[a \mapsto \top] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\sqsubseteq$  is defined point-wise,  $V \sqsubseteq_s V$ ,  $V \sqsubseteq_s \top$ , and  $\sigma_1 \sqsubseteq \sigma_2$ , we get

$$f_P[a := b * c](\sigma_1) = \sigma_1[a \mapsto V] \sqsubseteq f_P[a := b * c](\sigma_2)$$

□

3. Prove the local soundness of  $f_P[a := b * c](\sigma)$  Now let's try showing that the above function is locally sound. Remember, a flow function  $f$  is locally sound iff  $(P \vdash c_i \rightsquigarrow c_{i+1} \text{ and } \alpha(c_i) \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_i} \text{ and } f[P[n_i]](\sigma_{n_i}) = \sigma_{n_{i+1}}) \Rightarrow \alpha(c_{i+1}) \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_{i+1}}$

Proof of local soundness of the above flow function:

Assume  $f_P c_i = E_i, n_i$  and  $\alpha(E_i) \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_i}$

Then  $c_{i+1} = E_i[a \mapsto m], n_i + 1$  for some  $m$  such that  $E_i(b) * E_i(c) = m$  since  $n_{i+1} = n_i + 1$  by rule *step-arith*

Now  $\alpha(c_{i+1}) = \alpha(E_i[a \mapsto m]) = \alpha(E_i)[a \mapsto \alpha_s(m)]$  by the definitions of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_s$

Case  $m \in \{2k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

Then  $\alpha_s(m) = V$  and  $E_i(b)$  is even or  $E_i(c)$  is even

Thus  $(\alpha_s(E_i(b)) = V \wedge \alpha_s(E_i(c)) \neq \perp) \vee$   
 $(\alpha_s(E_i(c)) = V \wedge \alpha_s(E_i(b)) \neq \perp)$

Since  $\alpha(E_i) \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_i}$ , we get

$(\alpha_s(E_i(b)) = V \sqsubseteq_s \sigma_{n_i}(b) \wedge \alpha_s(E_i(c)) \neq \perp \sqsubseteq_s \sigma_{n_i}(c)) \vee$   
 $(\alpha_s(E_i(c)) = V \sqsubseteq_s \sigma_{n_i}(c) \wedge \alpha_s(E_i(b)) \neq \perp \sqsubseteq_s \sigma_{n_i}(b))$

From this we get  $(\sigma_{n_i}(b) \in \{V, \top\} \wedge \sigma_{n_i}(c) \neq \perp) \vee (\sigma_{n_i}(c) \in \{V, \top\} \wedge \sigma_{n_i}(b) \neq \perp)$

$$\therefore \sigma_{n_{i+1}} = f_P[a := b * c](\sigma_{n_i}) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{n_i}[a \mapsto V] & \text{if } (\sigma_{n_i}(b) = V \wedge \sigma_{n_i}(c) \neq \perp) \vee \\ & (\sigma_{n_i}(c) = V \wedge \sigma_{n_i}(b) \neq \perp) \\ \sigma_{n_i}[a \mapsto \top] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\sqsubseteq$  is defined point-wise,  $\alpha(E_i) \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_i}$ ,  $V \sqsubseteq_s V$ , and  $V \sqsubseteq_s \top$ , we get

$\alpha(c_{i+1}) = \alpha(E_i)[a \mapsto V] \sqsubseteq \sigma_{n_{i+1}}$

Case  $m \in \{2k + 1 : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

[Similar to the previous case and left up to the reader to prove as an exercise]

□