

Operational Semantics

Operational semantics provides a way of understanding what a program means by mimicking, at a high level, the operation of a computer executing the program. Operational semantics falls under two broad classes: *big-step* operational semantics, which specifies the entire operation of a given expression or statement; and *small-step* operational semantics, which specifies the operation of the program one step at a time. Both are powerful tools for verifying the correctness and other desired properties of programs.

Exercises

1. Use the big-step operational semantics rules for the WHILE language to write a well-formed derivation with $\langle E, y := 3; \text{if } y > 1 \text{ then } z := y \text{ else } z := 2 \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3]$ as its conclusion. Make sure to indicate which rule you used to prove each premise or conclusion.

$$\frac{
 \frac{
 \frac{
 \langle E, 3 \rangle \Downarrow_a 3 \quad \textit{int}
 }{
 \langle E, y := 3 \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3] \quad \textit{assign}
 }
 }{
 \frac{
 \frac{
 \frac{
 \langle E[y \mapsto 3], y \rangle \Downarrow_a 3 \quad \textit{var} \quad \langle E[y \mapsto 3], 1 \rangle \Downarrow_a 1 \quad \textit{int}
 }{
 \langle E[y \mapsto 3], y > 1 \rangle \Downarrow_b \textit{true} \quad \textit{boolop}
 }
 }{
 \frac{
 \langle E[y \mapsto 3], z := y \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3] \quad \textit{assign}
 }{
 \langle E[y \mapsto 3], \text{if } y > 1 \text{ then } z := y \text{ else } z := 2 \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3] \quad \textit{if-true}
 }
 }{
 \langle E[y \mapsto 3], \text{if } y > 1 \text{ then } z := y \text{ else } z := 2 \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3] \quad \textit{seq}
 }
 }{
 \langle E, y := 3; \text{if } y > 1 \text{ then } z := y \text{ else } z := 2 \rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3]
 }
 }
 }$$

2. For homework 2, you will be partially proving that if a statement terminates, then the big- and small-step semantics for WHILE will obtain equivalent results; i.e.,

$$\forall S \in \text{Stmt}. \forall E, E' \in \text{Var} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}. \langle E, S \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle \iff \langle E, S \rangle \Downarrow E'$$

You will prove this by induction on the structure of derivations for each direction of \iff .

For your homework proof, you are only required to show

- The base case(s).
- The inductive case for `let` using the semantics developed in question 1 of the homework.
- Two more representative inductive cases.

You may assume that this property holds for arithmetic and boolean expressions, i.e., you may assume the following hold:

$$\forall a \in \text{AExp}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \langle E, a \rangle \rightarrow_a^* n \iff \langle E, a \rangle \Downarrow_a n \quad (1)$$

$$\forall P \in \text{BExp}. \forall b \in \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}. \langle E, P \rangle \rightarrow_b^* b \iff \langle E, P \rangle \Downarrow_b b \quad (2)$$

You may also assume the small-step if congruence of $\langle E, S \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', S' \rangle$:

$$\frac{\langle E, P \rangle \rightarrow_b^* P'}{\langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E, \text{if } P' \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle} \quad (3)$$

For this exercise, you will prove the following representative inductive case:

$$\forall S \in \text{Stmt}. \forall E, E' \in \text{Var} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}. \langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \Downarrow E' \iff \langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle$$

We prove each direction of \iff separately. We proceed by induction on derivations of program evaluation. We define a partial order over derivations $D_1 \prec D_2$ if D_1 is a sub-derivation of D_2 (that is D_1 is a premise of D_2).

Proof obligation for \Rightarrow : We will first prove that $\langle E, S \rangle \Downarrow E' \Rightarrow \langle E, S \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle$. In other words, if there exists a derivation $D :: \langle E, S \rangle \Downarrow E'$, we want to show that there exists a derivation of $\langle E, S \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle$.

Inductive Hypothesis: Our inductive hypothesis is that if $D' :: \langle E_1, S' \rangle \Downarrow E_2$ (for arbitrary D', S', E_1, E_2) is a sub-derivation of D , then there also exists a derivation of $\langle E_1, S' \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E_2, \text{skip} \rangle$. In other words, given D' exists, we can assume that $\langle E_1, S' \rangle \Downarrow E_2 \Rightarrow \langle E_1, S' \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E_2, \text{skip} \rangle$.

Base Case (skip): Let $D :: \langle E, \text{skip} \rangle \Downarrow E'$. By inversion, we know that D must end with the *big-skip* rule, which gives us $E = E'$. And, by the *multi-reflexive* rule for \rightarrow^* , we have that $\langle E, \text{skip} \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E, \text{skip} \rangle$. Since E and E' are equal, we have proved that $\langle E, \text{skip} \rangle \Downarrow E' \Rightarrow \langle E, \text{skip} \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle$ as required.

Inductive Case (if): In this case, we have $D :: \langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \Downarrow E'$. We want to show that there exists a derivation for $\langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle$. By inversion, there are two cases for the previous rule applied to D , *big-if-true* and *big-if-false*.

Case 1 *big-if-true*: We have:

$$D ::= \frac{\langle E, P \rangle \Downarrow \text{true} \quad D' :: \langle E, S_1 \rangle \Downarrow E'}{\langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \Downarrow E'} \text{ big-if-true} \quad (4)$$

Using the induction hypothesis on sub-derivation D' , we also have:

$$\langle E, S_1 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle \quad (5)$$

By (2) we have that $\langle E, P \rangle \Downarrow_b \text{true} \Rightarrow \langle E, P \rangle \rightarrow_b^* \text{true}$, and using this result with (3) we have:

$$\frac{\langle E, P \rangle \rightarrow_b^* \text{true}}{\langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E, \text{if true then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle} \quad (6)$$

By the *small-if-true* rule, we also have:

$$\langle E, \text{if true then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle E, S_1 \rangle \quad (7)$$

By (5), (7), and the *multi-inductive* rule of \rightarrow^* , we can then derive:

$$\frac{\langle E, \text{if true then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle E, S_1 \rangle \quad \langle E, S_1 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle}{\langle E, \text{if true then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle} \quad (8)$$

By (6), (8), and the *transitive* property of \rightarrow^* , we are finally able to derive:

$$\langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle$$

Case 2 *big-if-false*: Similar to above, using corresponding rules for the *false* case.

Thus, we have shown that $\langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \Downarrow E' \Rightarrow \langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle$.

Proof obligation for \Leftarrow : We will now prove that $\langle E, S \rangle \Downarrow E' \Leftarrow \langle E, S \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle$. In other words, if there exists a derivation $D :: \langle E, S \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle$, we want to show that there exists a derivation of $\langle E, S \rangle \Downarrow E'$.

Inductive Hypothesis: Our inductive hypothesis is that if $D' :: \langle E_1, S' \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E_2, \text{skip} \rangle$ (for arbitrary D', S', E_1, E_2) is a sub-derivation of D , then there also exists a derivation of $\langle E_1, S' \rangle \Downarrow E_2$. In other words, given D' exists, we can assume that $\langle E_1, S' \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E_2, \text{skip} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle E_1, S' \rangle \Downarrow E_2$.

Base Case (skip): Let $D :: \langle E, \text{skip} \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle$. By inversion, we know that no small-step rule for `skip` exists. This derivation is only possible using the *multi-reflexive* rule for \rightarrow^* , which gives us $E = E'$. And, by the *big-step* rule, we have that $\langle E, \text{skip} \rangle \Downarrow E$. Since E and E' are equal, we have proved that $\langle E, \text{skip} \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle E, \text{skip} \rangle \Downarrow E'$ as required.

Inductive Case (if): In this case, we have $D :: \langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle$. We want to show that there exists a derivation for $\langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \Downarrow E'$. By inversion of rules we know that this derivation must use transitive applications of the *multi-inductive* rule, eq. (3), and either the *small-if-true* or *small-if-false* rules. We can discuss the *true* and *false* cases separately.

Case 1: By inversion and use of transitive applications of \rightarrow^* , the derivation for the *true* case will be of the form:

$$\frac{\frac{D_P :: \langle E, P \rangle \rightarrow_b^* \text{true}}{\langle \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E, \text{if true then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle} \quad \frac{D_{S_1} :: \langle E, S_1 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle}{\langle E, \text{if true then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle}}{\langle E, \text{if } P \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \text{skip} \rangle} \quad (9)$$

Using D_P from (9) and the result from (2), we have that:

$$\langle E, P \rangle \Downarrow_b \text{true} \quad (10)$$

Using D_{S_1} from (9) and the induction hypothesis, we have that:

$$\langle E, S_1 \rangle \Downarrow E' \quad (11)$$

Using (10), (11), and the *big-step* rule, we have the required derivation:

$$\frac{\langle E, P \rangle \Downarrow \mathbf{true} \quad \langle E, S_1 \rangle \Downarrow E'}{\langle E, \mathbf{if } P \mathbf{ then } S_1 \mathbf{ else } S_2 \rangle \Downarrow E'} \textit{big-if-true}$$

Case 2: The *false* case is similar to above, substituting S_2 for S_1 .

Thus, we have shown that $\langle E, \mathbf{if } P \mathbf{ then } S_1 \mathbf{ else } S_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E', \mathbf{skip} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle E, \mathbf{if } P \mathbf{ then } S_1 \mathbf{ else } S_2 \rangle \Downarrow E'$.