

Lecture 7: Widening Operators and Collecting Semantics for Dataflow Analysis

17-355/17-655/17-819: Program Analysis

Rohan Padhye and Jonathan Aldrich

March 2, 2021

* Course materials developed with Claire Le Goues

Motivation: Interval Analysis

- Goal: track the range of integers each variable could have
 - Generalizes constant propagation to ranges
 - Use case?

Motivation: Interval Analysis

- Goal: track the range of integers each variable could have
 - Generalizes constant propagation to ranges
 - Use case: array bounds checking

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \mathbb{Z}_{\infty} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\infty} && \text{where } \mathbb{Z}_{\infty} = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\} \\ [l_1, h_1] \sqsubseteq [l_2, h_2] & \text{ iff} \\ [l_1, h_1] \sqcup [l_2, h_2] & = \\ \top & = \\ \perp & = \\ \sigma_0 & = \\ \alpha(x) & = [x, x] \\ \sigma \in \mathbf{Var} & \rightarrow L \end{aligned}$$

Note: \leq and max are extended to handle ∞

Motivation: Interval Analysis

- Goal: track the range of integers each variable could have
 - Generalizes constant propagation to ranges
 - Use case: array bounds checking

$$\begin{aligned}L &= \mathbb{Z}_\infty \times \mathbb{Z}_\infty \quad \text{where } \mathbb{Z}_\infty = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\} \\ [l_1, h_1] \sqsubseteq [l_2, h_2] &\text{ iff } l_2 \leq_\infty l_1 \wedge h_1 \leq_\infty h_2 \\ [l_1, h_1] \sqcup [l_2, h_2] &= [\min_\infty(l_1, l_2), \max_\infty(h_1, h_2)] \\ \top &= [-\infty, \infty] \\ \perp &= [\infty, -\infty] \\ \sigma_0 &= \top \\ \alpha(x) &= [x, x] \\ \sigma \in \mathbf{Var} &\rightarrow L\end{aligned}$$

Note: \leq and \max are extended to handle ∞

Interval Analysis Flow Functions

$$f_I[[x := y + z]](\sigma) =$$

Interval Analysis Flow Functions

$$f_I[x := y + z](\sigma) = \sigma[x \mapsto [l, h]] \quad \text{where } l = \sigma(y).low +_{\infty} \sigma(z).low \\ \text{and } h = \sigma(y).high +_{\infty} \sigma(z).high$$
$$f_I[x := y + z](\sigma) = \sigma \quad \text{where } \sigma(y) = \perp \vee \sigma(z) = \perp$$

How would we use this to check array bounds?

What is the height of the Interval Analysis lattice?

Applying Interval Analysis to a Program

```
1 :  $x := 0$   
2 : if  $x = y$  goto 5  
3 :  $x := x + 1$   
4 : goto 2  
5 :  $y := 0$ 
```

stmt	worklist	x	y
0	1,2,3,4,5	\top	\top
1	2,3,4,5		
2	3,4,5		
3	4,5		
4	2,5		

Applying Interval Analysis to a Program

```
1 : x := 0
2 : if x = y goto 5
3 : x := x + 1
4 : goto 2
5 : y := 0
```

stmt	worklist	x	y
0	1,2,3,4,5	\top	\top
1	2,3,4,5	[0,0]	\top
2	3,4,5	[0,0]	\top
3	4,5	[1,1]	\top
4	2,5	[1,1]	\top
2	3,5	[0,1]	\top
3	4,5	[1,2]	\top
4	2,5	[1,2]	\top
2	3,5	[0,2]	\top
3	4,5	[1,3]	\top
4	2,5	[1,3]	\top
2	3,5	[0,3]	\top
...			

The Widening Operator

- Purpose: compress infinite ascending chains to finite length
- Compares new lattice element to previous one
 - If the new one is higher, the widening operator may skip upwards in the lattice
 - We ensure there are a finite number of such “skips” possible

$$W(\perp, l_{current}) =$$

$$W([l_1, h_1], [l_2, h_2]) =$$

The Widening Operator

- Purpose: compress infinite ascending chains to finite length
- Compares new lattice element to previous one
 - If the new one is higher, the widening operator may skip upwards in the lattice
 - We ensure there are a finite number of such “skips” possible

$$W(\perp, l_{\text{current}}) = l_{\text{current}}$$

$$W([l_1, h_1], [l_2, h_2]) = [\min_W(l_1, l_2), \max_W(h_1, h_2)]$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{where } \min_W(l_1, l_2) = l_1 & \text{if } l_1 \leq l_2 \\ \text{and } \min_W(l_1, l_2) = -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{where } \max_W(h_1, h_2) = h_1 & \text{if } h_1 \geq h_2 \\ \text{and } \max_W(h_1, h_2) = \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{array}$$

Applying Interval Analysis again, with widening

```
1 : x := 0
2 : if x = y goto 5
3 : x := x + 1
4 : goto 2
5 : y := 0
```

stmt	worklist	x	y
0	1,2,3,4,5	⊥	⊥
1	2,3,4,5		
2	3,4,5		
3	4,5		
4	2,5		
2	3,5		
3	4,5		
4	2,5		
2	5		
5	∅		

$$W(\perp, l_{\text{current}}) = l_{\text{current}}$$

$$W([l_1, h_1], [l_2, h_2]) = [\min_W(l_1, l_2), \max_W(h_1, h_2)]$$

Applying Interval Analysis again, with widening

```

1 : x := 0
2 : if x = y goto 5
3 : x := x + 1
4 : goto 2
5 : y := 0
    
```

stmt	worklist	x	y
0	1,2,3,4,5	⊤	⊤
1	2,3,4,5	[0,0]	⊤
2	3,4,5	[0,0]	⊤
3	4,5	[1,1]	⊤
4	2,5	[1,1]	⊤
2	3,5	[0,∞]	⊤
3	4,5	[1,∞]	⊤
4	2,5	[1,∞]	⊤
2	5	[0,∞]	⊤
5	∅	[0,∞]	[0,0]

$$W(\perp, l_{\text{current}}) = l_{\text{current}}$$

$$W([l_1, h_1], [l_2, h_2]) = [\min_W(l_1, l_2), \max_W(h_1, h_2)]$$

Properties of Widening Operators

- Accepts two lattice elements: previous and current
- Returns a lattice value to be used in place of current
- Must return an upper bound of its operands (for monotonicity)
- When applied to an ascending chain, resulting chain must be of finite height
 - New chain l_i^W defined as:

$$l_0^W = l_0$$

$$\forall i > 0 : l_i^W = W(l_{i-1}^W, l_i)$$

Applying the widening operator

- Safe to apply anywhere (the analysis just becomes more conservative)
- Useful to apply at the heads of loops

Widening operators based on program constants

- Heuristic idea: if program has the constant 10, widen to 10 before widening to ∞
 - Maybe 10 is a loop bound!
 - Ascending chain becomes $\perp, [0, 0], [0, 10]$

$$W(\perp, l_{\text{current}}) = l_{\text{current}}$$

$$W([l_1, h_1], [l_2, h_2]) = [\min_K(l_1, l_2), \max_K(h_1, h_2)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \min_K(l_1, l_2) &= l_1 && \text{if } l_1 \leq l_2 \\ \text{and } \min_K(l_1, l_2) &= \max(\{k \in K \mid k \leq l_2\}) && \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \max_K(h_1, h_2) &= h_1 && \text{if } h_1 \geq h_2 \\ \text{and } \max_K(h_1, h_2) &= \min(\{k \in K \mid k \geq h_2\}) && \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise: Try out the constant-based widening operator

What is the computed lattice value after line 5?

1 : $x := 0$	$W(\perp, l_{current})$	$= l_{current}$	
2 : $y := 1$			
3 : if $x = 10$ goto 7	$W([l_1, h_1], [l_2, h_2])$	$= [\min_K(l_1, l_2), \max_K(h_1, h_2)]$	
4 : $x := x + 1$		where $\min_K(l_1, l_2) = l_1$	if $l_1 \leq l_2$
5 : $y := y - 1$		and $\min_K(l_1, l_2) = \max(\{k \in K \mid k \leq l_2\})$	otherwise
6 : goto 3		where $\max_K(h_1, h_2) = h_1$	if $h_1 \geq h_2$
7 : goto 7		and $\max_K(h_1, h_2) = \min(\{k \in K \mid k \geq h_2\})$	otherwise

The program has constants

$K = \{-1, 0, 1, 10\}$

Try out the constant-based widening operator

```
1 : x := 0
2 : y := 1
3 : if x = 10 goto 7
4 : x := x + 1
5 : y := y - 1
6 : goto 3
7 : goto 7
```

The program has constants
-1, 0, 1, and 10

stmt	worklist	x	y
0	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	\top	\top
1	2,3,4,5,6,7		
2	3,4,5,6,7		
3	4,5,6,7		
4	5,6,7		
5	6,7		
6	3,7		
3	4,7		
4	5,7		
5	6,7		
6	3,7		
3	4,7		
4	5,7		
5	6,7		
6	3,7		
3	7		
7	\emptyset		

Try out the constant-based widening operator

```

1 : x := 0
2 : y := 1
3 : if x = 10 goto 7
4 : x := x + 1
5 : y := y - 1
6 : goto 3
7 : goto 7

```

The program has constants
-1, 0, 1, and 10

stmt	worklist	x	y
0	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	\top	\top
1	2,3,4,5,6,7	[0,0]	\top
2	3,4,5,6,7	[0,0]	[1, 1]
3	4,5,6,7	$[0, 0]_F, \perp_T$	[1, 1]
4	5,6,7	[1,1]	[1, 1]
5	6,7	[1,1]	[0, 0]
6	3,7	[1,1]	[0, 0]
3	4,7	$[0, 1]_F, \perp_T$	[0, 1]
4	5,7	[1,2]	[0, 1]
5	6,7	[1,2]	[-1, 0]
6	3,7	[1,2]	[-1, 0]
3	4,7	$[0, 9]_F, [10, 10]_T$	$[-\infty, 1]$
4	5,7	[1,10]	$[-\infty, 1]$
5	6,7	[1,10]	$[-\infty, 0]$
6	3,7	[1,10]	$[-\infty, 0]$
3	7	$[0, 9]_F, [10, 10]_T$	$[-\infty, 1]$
7	\emptyset	[10,10]	$[-\infty, 1]$

Collecting Semantics

- Motivation: how would we prove reaching definitions correct?
- Usual approach: compare dataflow lattice elements with actual execution values
 - But “actual execution” doesn’t track which definitions reach a program point!
- Solution: augment semantics with relevant information

Collecting semantics for Reaching Definitions

- Extended version of environment $E_{RD} \in Var \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$
 - \mathbb{N} represents the line number where the variable was last defined

$$\frac{P(n) = x := m}{P \vdash \langle E, n \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle E[x \mapsto m, \underline{n}], n + 1 \rangle} \textit{step-const}$$

$$\frac{P(n) = x := y}{P \vdash \langle E, n \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle E[x \mapsto E(y), \underline{n}], n + 1 \rangle} \textit{step-copy}$$

$$\frac{P(n) = x := y \textit{ op } z \quad E(y) \textit{ op } E(z) = m}{P \vdash \langle E, n \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle E[x \mapsto m, \underline{n}], n + 1 \rangle} \textit{step-arith}$$

Abstraction Function for Reaching Definitions

$$\alpha_{RD}(E_{RD}, n) = \{x_m \mid \exists x \in \text{domain}(E_{RD}) \text{ such that } E_{RD}(x) = i, m\}$$

Collecting Semantics for Live Variables

- **Tricky:** programs execute forwards but live variables requires backwards reasoning!
- **Solution:** consider the set of traces generated by a program
- **Analyze the traces to determine the set of live variables**
- **Correctness criterion:** the analysis computes a superset of the variables that are actually live in any trace