Name and Andrew ID: ______________________________

1. Use the big-step operational semantics rules for the WHILE language to write a well-formed derivation with $\langle y := 3; \texttt{if } y > 1 \texttt{ then } z := y \texttt{ else } z := 2, E\rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3]$ as its conclusion. Make sure to indicate which rule you used to prove each premise or conclusion.

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\langle 3, E\rangle \Downarrow_a 3}\ \textit{int}}{\langle y := 3, E\rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3]}\ \textit{assign} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\langle y, E[y \mapsto 3]\rangle \Downarrow_a 3}\ \textit{var} \quad \dfrac{}{\langle 1, E[y \mapsto 3]\rangle \Downarrow_a 1}\ \textit{int}}{\langle y > 1, E[y \mapsto 3]\rangle \Downarrow_b \texttt{true}}\ \textit{boolop} \quad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\langle y, E[y \mapsto 3]\rangle \Downarrow_a 3}\ \textit{var}}{\langle z := y, E[y \mapsto 3]\rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3]}\ \textit{assign}}{\langle \texttt{if } y > 1 \texttt{ then } z := y \texttt{ else } z := 2, E[y \mapsto 3]\rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3]}\ \textit{if-true}}{\langle y := 3; \texttt{if } y > 1 \texttt{ then } z := y \texttt{ else } z := 2, E\rangle \Downarrow E[y \mapsto 3; z \mapsto 3]}\ \textit{seq}$$

2. For homework 2, you will be partially proving that if a statement terminates, then the big- and small-step semantics for WHILE will obtain equivalent results; i.e.,

$$\forall S \in \texttt{Stmt}.\forall E, E' \in \texttt{Var} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}.\langle S, E\rangle \to^* \langle \texttt{skip}, E'\rangle \iff \langle S, E\rangle \Downarrow E'$$

You will prove this by induction on the structure of derivations for each direction of $\iff$.

For your homework proof, you are only required to show

- The base case(s).
- The inductive case for `let` using the semantics developed in question 1 of the homework.
- Two more representative inductive cases.

You may assume that this property holds for arithmetic and boolean expressions, i.e., you may assume the following hold:

$$\forall a \in \texttt{AExp}.\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.\langle a, E\rangle \to_a^* n \iff \langle a, E\rangle \Downarrow_a n \quad (1)$$

$$\forall P \in \texttt{BExp}.\forall b \in \{\texttt{true}, \texttt{false}\}.\langle P, E\rangle \to_b^* b \iff \langle P, E\rangle \Downarrow_b b \quad (2)$$

You may also assume the small-step if congruence of $\langle S, E\rangle \to^* \langle S', E'\rangle$:

$$\frac{\langle P, E\rangle \to_b^* P'}{\langle \texttt{if } P \texttt{ then } S_1 \texttt{ else } S_2, E\rangle \to^* \langle \texttt{if } P' \texttt{ then } S_1 \texttt{ else } S_2, E\rangle} \quad (3)$$

For this exercise, you will prove the following representative inductive case:

$$\forall S \in \texttt{Stmt}.\forall E, E' \in \texttt{Var} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}.\langle \texttt{if } P \texttt{ then } S_1 \texttt{ else } S_2, E\rangle \Downarrow E' \iff \langle \texttt{if } P \texttt{ then } S_1 \texttt{ else } S_2, E\rangle \to^* \langle \texttt{skip}, E'\rangle$$

Proof: We proceed by induction on the structure of the derivations D, D', defined as $D :: \langle S, E\rangle \Downarrow E'$ and $D' :: \langle S, E\rangle \to^* \langle \texttt{skip}, E''\rangle$

Base Case (`skip`): Let $D :: \langle \texttt{skip}, E\rangle \Downarrow E'$ and $D' :: \langle \texttt{skip}, E\rangle \to^* \langle \texttt{skip}, E''\rangle$. By the big-step rule for `skip` we have that $E = E'$, and by the small-step rule for `skip`, we have that $E = E''$, therefore $E' = E''$ and $D \iff D'$.

Inductive Hypothesis: Our inductive hypothesis is $\langle S, E\rangle \Downarrow E' \iff \langle S, E\rangle \to^* \langle \texttt{skip}, E'\rangle$

Inductive Case (`if`): Let $D :: \langle \texttt{if } P \texttt{ then } S_1 \texttt{ else } S_2, E\rangle \Downarrow E'$ and $D' :: \langle \texttt{if } P \texttt{ then } S_1 \texttt{ else } S_2, E\rangle \to^* \langle \texttt{skip}, E''\rangle$. By inversion there are two cases for the previous rule applied to D, *big-if-true* and *big-if-false*.

Case 1 *big-if-true*: We have:

$$D :: \frac{\langle P, E\rangle \Downarrow \texttt{true} \quad \langle S_1, E\rangle \Downarrow E'}{\langle \texttt{if } P \texttt{ then } S_1 \texttt{ else } S_2, E\rangle \Downarrow E'} \textit{ big-if-true}$$

By (2) we have that $\langle P, E\rangle \Downarrow_b \texttt{true} \iff \langle P, E\rangle \to_b^* \texttt{true}$, and by (3) we have:

$$\frac{\langle P, E\rangle \to_b^* \texttt{true}}{\langle \texttt{if } P \texttt{ then } S_1 \texttt{ else } S_2, E\rangle \to^* \langle \texttt{if true then } S_1 \texttt{ else } S_2, E\rangle}$$

By inversion, we know that the previous rule applied to D' must have been *small-if-true*:

$$D' :: \frac{\langle P, E\rangle \to_b^* \texttt{true} \quad \langle S_1, E\rangle \to^* \langle \texttt{skip}, E''\rangle}{\langle \texttt{if } P \texttt{ then } S_1 \texttt{ else } S_2, E\rangle \to^* \langle \texttt{skip}, E''\rangle} \textit{ small-if-true}$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we have that $\langle S_1, E\rangle \Downarrow E' \iff \langle S_1, E\rangle \to^* \langle \texttt{skip}, E'\rangle$, therefore $E' = E''$ and $D \iff D'$. □